


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -06

Name of the district: Aurangabad(Bihar)

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE HOUSE OF PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY			
[In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidate and the Shadow Observation Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should be sent along with this report]			
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO	
1	Name & address of the candidate	Ragini Lata Singh 44-Vatikapuri Jagdeo path, Rukanpura, Beli Road, Patna-800014	
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Admi Party	
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	37 –AURANGABAD	
4.	Name of the elected candidate	SUSHIL KUMAR SINGH	
5.	Date of declaration of result	16 MAY 2014	
6.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	15 JUNE 2014	
7.	Date of lodging of account by the candidate	14 JUN 2014	
8.	Whether account lodged by the candidate is in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	YES	
(a)			
(b)	Defects noticed in the format by the DEO	Tick rows	Brief note on details of the defects
(i)	Abstract statement (Part to IV and schedules 1 to 9) not filled up/not duly signed	-	-
(ii)	Duly sworn in Affidavit of the candidate not filed	-	-
(iii)	Register of day to day accounts along with bank register and cash register not duly signed by the candidate	-	-
(iv)	Vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure not submitted/not signed by the candidate of his election agent	-	-
(v)	Self Certified copy of the Statement of Bank Account for election expenses not submitted	-	-
(vi)	All receipts for election expenses not deposited in the aforesaid bank a/c and all payments except petty expenses not made by cheque.	-	-

S.No.	Description				To be filled up by the DEO		
9.	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in Part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate.				1502972.00		
10 (a)	Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No)				YES		
(b)	If No. then, please fill up the details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned, at all by the candidate						
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	As per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount under stated by the Candidate	
	Total						
11. (a)	Did the candidate produce his Register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO (Yes or No) 3 times during campaign period				YES		
(b)	Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If Yes, mention the discrepancy?				(i) Cash Register not properly recorded. (ii) Office rent and loud speaker charge not recorded in Register		
(c)	Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure given to the candidate by the RO. ? Please mention date and nature of discrepancy.				28/03/14 & 02/04/2014 as per mention in 11(b)		

(d)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(Please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received).	YES Copy enclosed
12.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes or No).	YES
13.	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by Political Party as mentioned in Part-III of the Abstract Statement. Also mention name of the party.	62755.00
14.	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by any other person/entity. Also mention name of the party.	1390217.00
15.	Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? Please mention date and name of person.	No
	SEAL: जिला निर्वाचन पदाधिकारी एवं जिला पदाधिकारी औरंगाबाद Date: 17.6.14	 17.6 Signature (Name of the DEO)

